

ANSYS HPC Seminar Series

CFD

 Prepared and presented by Alex Pickard apickard@simutechgroup.ca



Who Are We

- 120+ Employees
- 12 Local Offices
- 2000+ Customers
- 80% Engineering Staff
 with Advanced degree





Today's Adenda

- Introduction to CFD HPC, solvers, and licenses
- Computer platform recommendations (CPU models & features, RAM quantity, memory bandwidth, storage, networking)
- Hardware recommendations for users (solver and preprocessor)
- Performance diagnostic information from reading solver logs
- Simulation best practices for performance and scalability
- Sizing resource request for your model
- Intro to clusters and Job Schedulers
- Workflow recommendations based on analysis type
- Demos / Workshops
 - Job submission techniques
 - Multi-step simulation (3D Printing)
 - Improving performance and scalability of contacts





High-performance computing is the use of parallel processing techniques for solving complex computational problems.

It especially refers to using multiple computers to work together on a single problem (clusters). It does not necessarily mean working on a single solution.



What is HPC?

Having HPC capability increases throughput:

- Faster results
- More design iteration
- Hit hard deadlines
- Greater engineering efficiency
- Parametric analysis & optimization
 HPC enables more thorough design and analysis on a tighter deadline.





What is HPC?

Having HPC capability increases capability:

- More complex models
- More physics
- Less risky simplifications
- Greater detail
- System level analysis
- Discovery of new insight

HPC unlocks new capability within the ANSYS products your already have.





ANSYS CFD

- The ANSYS CFD suite consists of multiple high-end CFD codes and programs:
 - Fluent: A general CFD code considered our go-to.
 - Excellent scalability and flexibility with UDFs
 - **CFX**: Another general use code with specialization in turbomachinery
 - Slightly easier to use, greater starter
 - Icepak: A program made to do heat transfer, especially electronics
 - Built on Fluent's solver and gains thus has similar HPC characteristics
 - Others which won't be covered:
 - Forte (IC Engines), Fensap-ICE (Icing), Polyflow (Polymers+)



ANSYS Academic & HPC Licence Changes

- ANSYS HPC licencing has changed multiple times in the last 5 years.
- Overall trend is to become (slightly) simpler.
- As of 2021 Academic and Commercial licencing is identical:
 - Previously there were dedicated research licenses that provided 16 cores standard.
 - Now academic and campus licence bundles contain commercial licenses.
 - Campus bundles contain more HPC to compensate, more than makes up for the change.
 - Old 10 Research bundle had 10 x 16 core solvers + 64 HPC (no solve bigger than 80 cores)
 - New 10 Research bundle has 10 x 4 core solvers + 180 HPC (allows up to 184 cores in one solve)
- Sovlers enable 4 cores standard + HPC licence to add individual cores.
- HPC Packs are also available which have an exponential effect:
 - First pack triples the allowed cores:
 - Second pack **triples** it again:
 - Next ones is nearly **quadruple**:
 - Note: Uncommon in academia...

Packs $4 \rightarrow 12 = 3X$ $12 \rightarrow 36 = 3X$ $36 \rightarrow 132 = ~3.7X$ $132 \rightarrow 516 = ~3.9X$

| Packs | Added Cores | Total Cores |
|-------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | 8 | 12 |
| 2 | 32 | 36 |
| 3 | 128 | 128 |
| 4 | 512 | 516 |
| 5 | 2048 | 2052 |



Distributed Memory Mode

- Distributed solvers are standard across many ANSYS products.
- They scale and perform better than shared memory solvers.
- They enable each CPU core to have it's own solver process and work on and independent chunk of the problem
- Requires substantial coordination and communication between processes.
- Enables problems to be run across multiple computers.
- Many technologies are difficult to distribute across independent tasks (mesh changes)





CFD Scaling

- Both CFX and Fluent achieve excellent scaling to thousands of cores.
- Fluent still has greater potential is this regard:





CFX Solver Memory & CPU Requirements

- Memory usage and computation time are very feature driven.
- Help has detailed description of features that impact requirements

Chapter 15: CPU and Memory Requirements

This chapter provides information on typical increases in CPU (central processing unit) time and memory requirements incurred by some simulations and physical models:

- Tetrahedral Mesh
- <u>Executable Selection</u>
- <u>Turbulence</u>
- Energy Models
- <u>CHT Regions</u>
- <u>Multicomponent Flows</u>
- <u>Multiphase Flows</u>
- Additional Variables, Wall Distance Variables, and Boundary Distance Variables
- <u>Combustion Modeling</u>
- <u>Radiation Modeling</u>
- <u>GGI Interfaces</u>
- <u>Transient Runs</u>
 Mesh Deformation
- Bidirectional (Two-Way) Couplings with System Coupling
- Tets require 0.4x memory per element, or 2x per node, vs. Hex Mesh.
 - (Tets 5:1 with nodes, Hex 1:1)
- Double precision for large changes in grid dimension, aspect ratio, pressure range, multi-phase, etc.



CFX Solver Memory & CPU Requirements

- Large problem solver for 2^31 words of 4-bytes (~80M Hex or ~200M Tet).
- Hex meshes are better for multiphase, lower scaling penalty.

| # of | Memory Increases | | | | |
|--------|------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Phases | (Hex Mesh) | (Tet Mesh) | | | |
| 1 | 1 | 1.80 | | | |
| 2 | 2.15 | 3.40 | | | |
| 3 | 3.50 | 5.70 | | | |
| 4 | 5.15 | 8.05 | | | |
| 5 | 7.00 | 10.60 | | | |

- Mesh deformation has expensive computations <u>once</u> per timestep, plus extra RAM.
- Energy equations add 33% CPU to momentum and mass equations.
- Many other options (turbulence models, walls, combustion) require mostly CPU not much RAM.



CPU Instructions: AVX

- Many other ANSYS solvers, particularly direct matrix solves in Mechanical and EDT, use AVX instructions to solve their matrix.
- CFD solvers seems to have no or minimal AVX requirements.
- In a few years back an AVX2 binary of fluent was shipped that could allow minor gains (~5%), it seems to have disappeared.
- Performance is driven by core speed and data access bandwidth.

| | Microarchitecture | Instruction Set | SP FLOPs / cycle | DP FLOPs / cycle |
|------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2017 | Skylake | Intel® AVX-512 & FMA | 64 | 32 |
| 2014 | Haswell / Broadwell | Intel AVX2 & FMA | 32 | 16 |
| 2012 | Sandybridge | Intel AVX (256b) | 16 | 8 |
| 2010 | Nehalem | SSE (128b) | 8 | 4 |



Memory Bandwidth

- Simulation software tends to do simple math on large pools of data.
- Data needs to fetched quickly, processed, and then the results stored quickly.
- The ability to fetch and store data is limited by the memory bandwidth and data cache on a system, which is inherent to the platform and CPU.
- Comparison of current server CPU models available:

| Model | Intel Xeon Scalable (per socket) | AMD EPYC (per socket) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Memory Channels | 6 x DDR4-2933 MHz | 8 x DDR4-3200 MHz |
| L3 Data Cache | Up to 38.5 MB | Up to 256 MB |
| Cores | Up to 28 | Up to 64 |

• As core count gets higher the bandwidth advantages exceed the core efficiency



Memory Bandwidth

- Be sure to populate all memory channels (not necessarily all slots)
- Aim between between 2-4 Cores / memory channel
- <u>https://simutechgroup.com/maximizing-memory-performance-for-ansys-simulations/</u>





Which CPU Then?

- AMD seems to come out ahead at every core count (but not price point).
- AMD CPUs have massive data cache advantage currently.
- Intel HEDT CPUs (quad memory channel) are cheaper than TR (no Ryzen 5000 data yet)
- Focus on frequency for preprocessing (meshing speed!)
- Either for laptops, focus on platform, power

| Cores / Node | AMD | Intel |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 4-8 | Ryzen 5800X | i9-10900k |
| 12-16 | Ryzen 5950X Threadripper 3960X | i9-10920X / i9-10980XE Xeon W Xeon Gold |
| 24+ | Threadripper Pro 1-2 AMD EPYC | Dual Xeon Gold (like 6242R) |



Dual EPYC 7502 vs Dual Xeon 6242R 64 cores vs 40 cores





Dual EPYC 7502 vs Dual Xeon 6242R 36 cores vs 36 cores





Regarding RAM Sizing

- Giving a memory quantity recommendation is difficult because CFD models vary wildly in size, in particular transient vs. steady state.
- Extra RAM beyond what is needed to solve in RAM is not helpful.
- There really is no "page to disk" option, we need to have enough for our problem or compromise.
- We need maximum memory bandwidth (and speed!) from our platform, which frequently drives minimum quantity.
- Largely comes down to economics and appetite, RAM is fairly cheap (64GB+ ?).
- We use a cluster to scale our RAM and cores:
 - Need more RAM: request more nodes.
- SSD performance isn't critical for solver, but does drive experience and postprocessing.



GPUs

- Dedicated GPU is strongly recommended for GUI usage. (Quadro / Firepro)
- GPU's for compute are not really recommended
 - Very expensive
 - Inconsistent benefit
 - Less benefit as more cores are available
 - Main use case: reduce licencing costs (they only count as 1 core)



Networking

- Networking nodes together in CFD work extremely well.
- Each node adds more cache, memory bandwidth, memory quantity, cores, etc.
- Regular 1gbps Ethernet can be used for small clusters of small machines (workstations, laptops)
- High speed interconnect is required for larger clusters.
- RDMA communication is effectively required to see maximum gains.
 - Traditionally infiniband was recommended, but ethernet has this too.
 - ~2 microsecond vs 30 microsecond latency, higher bandwidth, less overhead
- Linux easier to implement, but Windows 10 and Server also work.



Networking

• Old data comparing interconnects, but still relevant:





Networking

• Brand new 2021R1 data on Dual EPYC 7542 with 100 gbps Ethernet.

| Cores | Oil Rig 7m SP | Oil Rig 7m DP | Combustor 12m SP | Combustor 12m DP | Aircraft Wing 14m SP | Aircraft Wing 14m DP |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 64 | 0.819 | 1.373 | 12.544 | 17.255 | 3.593 | 4.756 |
| 128 TCP | 0.658 | 0.93 | 8.274 | 10.704 | 1.957 | 2.459 |
| 128 RDMA | 0.51 | 0.674 | 6.563 | 8.477 | 1.794 | 2.314 |
| 128 vs 64 TCP mode Speedup | 24% | 48% | 52% | 61% | 84% | 93% |
| 128 vs 64 RDMA mode Speedup | 61% | 104% | 91% | 104% | 100% | 106% |



Nodes per Core and Scaling Efficiency

- Fluent data shown from tests at Argone National Labs (thousands of cores)
- Excellent efficiency at
 > 10k cells per core
- Good scaling down to 2500 cells/core





Load Balancing



• Check for even element distribution

- Unless machines have dissimilar speed...

Fluent

| > | 4 Ac | tive Pa | artition | 5: | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|-------|---------------|------|--------------|-----------|------|
| | P | Cells | I-Cells | Cell | Ratio | Faces | I-Faces | Face | Ratio | Neighbors | Load |
| | 0 | 3520 | 142 | | 0.040 | 11399 | 195 | | 0.017 | 1 | 1 |
| | 1 | 3298 | 115 | | 0.035 | 10678 | 151 | | 0.014 | 1 | 1 |
| | 2 | 3451 | 305 | | 0.088 | 11404 | 372 | | 0.033 | 2 | 1 |
| | 3 | 3583 | 332 | | 0.093 | 11586 | 416 | | 0.036 | 2 | 1 |
| | Coll | ective | Partiti | on Sta | atistic | cs: | Minim | | Maximur | n Total | |
| | Cell Mean | count cell c | count de | viatio | on | | 3298 -4.8% | | 3583 3.5% | 13852 | |
| | Part | ition k | oundary | cell | count | | 115 | : | 332 | 894 | |
| | Part | ition k | oundary | cell | count | ratio | 3.5% | 1 | 9.3% | 6.5% | |
| | Face | count | | | | | 10678 | : | 11586 | 44500 | |
| | Mean | face c | count de | viatio | on | | -5.2% | : | 2.8% | | |
| | Part | ition k | ooundary | face | count | | 151 | | 416 | 567 | |
| | Part | ition k | oundary | face | count | ratio | 1.4% | | 3.6% | 1.3% | |
| | Part | ition r | neighbor | count | t | | 1 | : | 2 | | |
| | Part Stor | ition M ed Part | Method cition Co | ount | | | Metis 4 | | | | |

| | L | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Elemen | its | Ver | tices | | Faces | ; |
| Part | Number | % | Number | % | %Ovlp | Number | % |
| Full | 5362055 | | 1305718 | | | 431798 | |
| 1 | 299665 | 5.5 | 82340 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 26341 | 5.9 |
| 2 | 336639 | 6.2 | 84940 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 31574 | 7.1 |
| 3 | 372613 | 6.8 | 87696 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 26181 | 5.9 |
| 4 | 378777 | 6.9 | 86632 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 18345 | 4.1 |
| 5 | 343350 | 6.3 | 87688 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 40596 | 9.2 |
| 6 | 310761 | 5.7 | 83422 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 28994 | 6.5 |
| 7 | 304609 | 5.6 | 85408 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 24073 | 5.4 |
| 8 | 379209 | 6.9 | 84450 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 19174 | 4.3 |
| 9 | 355124 | 6.5 | 86655 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 43423 | 9.8 |
| 10 | 317689 | 5.8 | 87163 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 22519 | 5.1 |
| 11 | 374125 | 6.8 | 86617 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 18616 | 4.2 |
| 12 | 366937 | 6.7 | 85446 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 26490 | 6.0 |
| 13 | 312941 | 5.7 | 84959 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 30573 | 6.9 |
| 14 | 367926 | 6.7 | 83759 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 18682 | 4.2 |
| 15 | 348172 | 6.4 | 85002 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 32840 | 7.4 |
| 16 | 293859 | 5.4 | 81763 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 34783 | 7.8 |
| Min | 293859 | 5.4 | 81763 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 18345 | 4.1 |
| (part) | + | (16) | | (16 | 16) | + | (4) |
| Max | 379209 | 6.9 | 87696 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 43423 | 9.8 |
| (part) | | (8) | | (3 | 12) | | (9) |
| Ave | 341400 | 6.3 | 85246 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 27700 | 6.2 |
| Sum | 5462396 | 100.0 | 1363940 | 100.0 | | 443204 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | |



Load Balancing

- Bias workload towards machines that are faster per core.
- Fluent has both manual methods and automatic methods for workload distribution.
- CFX can be balanced manually in run definition, or using CCL language.

| | | aage. | load(7) [load(8) [| ()] 2 ()] 2 | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|---|-----|
| Partitioning and Load | Balancing | Flue | nt | | |
| Metis | | | • | | |
| Options | Optimization | Weighting | Dynamic Load Balancing | Zones Filter Text | BEE |
| Physical Models Dynamic Mesh Mesh Adaption | Threshold (%) 10 20 5 | Interval 10 10 | A V | cfb-fluid cfb-volume.3 cfb-volume.6 jet_source | |
| | | | | Registers [0/0] | |

> /par/part/set/load-distribution

| Large Problem | | 🔤 Edit Custom Host | ? | × | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|------|---|---|
| Parallel Environment | | | | | | Ξ |
| Submission Type | Direct S | Host Name | HPC1 |] | - | |
| Run Mode | Intel MP | Host Architecture | winnt-amd64 |] | - |] |
| Host Name Custom | 1 Execut | Number of Processors | 16 |] | | |
| HPC0 | | Relative Speed | 2 |] | | * |
| HPC1 | | Installation Root | Files\ANSYS Inc\v%v\CFX | 6 | | 2 |
| | | Solver Executable | |] | | - |
| | | ОК | Car | ncel | | × |



Job Schedulers & RSM

- Remote Solve Manager (RSM) is a background solving feature that allows solves to happen as a background task on your computer or <u>others on your</u> <u>network</u>.
- RSM can also integrate with the following Job Schedulers:
 - ANSYS RSM Cluster (ARC)
 - Windows and Linux, Free from ANSYS
 - PBS Pro (Linux)

Torque (Linux, very similar to PBS, just had official support dropped but still works)

- Platform LSF (Linux)
- SLURM (Linux, newly Supported)
- Univa Grid Engine (Linux)
- Windows HPC Server (Windows Server)
- Either the solvers or Workbench can be batch solved manually, allowing any scheduler.



Job Submission Techniques - RSM

- RSM is easiest way to use remote resources, not necessarily the best.
- Using RSM you can:
 - Submit Simulation System from Workbench
 - Submit whole project from Workbench



| Propertie | es of Project Schematic | <u>⊸</u> † X |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | A | В |
| 1 | Property | Value |
| 2 | Notes | |
| 3 | Notes | |
| 4 | Solution Process | |
| 5 | Update Option | Remote Solve Manager (Legacy) 💌 |
| 6 | User String (Beta) | |
| 7 | RSM Queue | Batch 💌 |
| 8 | RSM Queue Details | |
| 9 | HPC Configuration | Cluster |
| 10 | HPC Queue | batch |
| 11 | HPC Type | Custom |
| 12 | Job Name | Workbench |
| 13 | Project Update | |
| 14 | Pre-RSM Foreground Update | None |
| 15 | Component Execution Mode | Parallel 💌 |
| 16 | Number of Processes | 32 |
| 17 | Retain Failed Design Points (Beta) | |



Workbench Job Submission via RSM

- Submitting whole workbench project has significant benefit for interdependent systems.
- Normally System A work be solved, the results retrieved, System B would map those results, then be submitted, solved, and retrieved.
 - Lots of file transfer and user input required.
- Workbench project update allows a single submission that updates the whole project.
 - CFD + Structural, optimization, parametric models





Direct Batch Solve

• Fluent:

fluent 3d -mpi=intel -t {cores} -g -cnf={hostfile} -i file.journal > solve.log 3d, 3ddp, 2d etc.

- -mpi MPI selection (intel, ibm, Microsoft, intel2019)
- -t Threads (cores)
- -cnf List of hosts
- -i Input Journal File
- -g No Graphics

> solve.log redirect output to log file for saving



Direct Batch Solve

• **CFX** (CFD):

cfx5solve -batch -def "%INPUT_DEF%" -par-dist \$(cat hostfile | tr '\n' ',') -start-method
"Intel MPI Distributed Parallel"

| -batch | batch Mode |
|----------------------------|--|
| -def | Job Definition File |
| -par-dist | Hosts (parallel distributed, see others) |
| -start-method | (MPI and local vs distributed) |
| -double | Double Precision |
| -size {x} | Multiplier for memory allocation from estimates |
| -large | Large Problem Solver |
| <pre>-ccl {file.ccl}</pre> | Command Language File for many advanced features |

- All solvers have many optional arguments that should be checked and used, this is only a quick reference to start.
 - See ANSYS Help



Batch Workbench Job

- If you don't have RSM, you can still submit a whole workbench project as a single job.
 - Archive the project into a wbpz file (optional).
 - Move that file onto cluster
 - Extract using workbench (or just unzip it, wbpz is just a gzip file):

/ansys_inc/v202/Framework/bin/Linux64/runwb2 -B -E 'Unarchive(ArchivePath=r"CFD.wbpz",
ProjectPath=r"CFD.wbpj", Overwrite = True)'

• Update the whole project:

/ansys_inc/v202/Framework/bin/Linux64/runwb2 -B -E 'Update(); Save(Overwrite=True)' -F "CFD.wbpj"

• Learning Workbench scripting language is not covered here, but the "recording" feature is highly recommended.

| -A addinsfile: Use addins file 'addins' -B : Run in batch -C configfile: Use configuration 'config' | ImportArchive | | | 6 🕼 Solution 7 🔗 Results |
|---|--|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| -D dataFile : Import an application data file. -E statement : Execute a journal statement at startup. May be repeated. | Ansys Minerva | • | - Contact | Split Contact |
| -F WBProject : Open a Workbench project file (*.wbpj) -I : Run interactively | Scripting | • | Record Journal | |
| -L language : Show UI in 'language' -R replayfile: Use replay file 'replay' | Export Report | | Run Script File | |
| -W workspace : Start UI with specified workspace | 1 C:\\HPC Semi | inars\Contact Splitting\Contact Splitting.wbpj | Open Command Wind | low |



Interactive Cluster Jobs

- Many job schedulers allow interactive job sessions, including X forwarding (graphics).
- For PBS:

qsub -I -X -N Jobname -l select=16

 Then just open Workbench or CFD solver and use the GUI: /ansys_inc/v202/Framework/bin/Linux64/runwb2 /ansys_inc/v202/fluent/bin/fluent
 Absolution





Other Solver Methods

- Fluent has hybrid Window GUI with Linux Solver mode (See Demo)
 - So does Icepak
- CFX has full job monitor for observing and editing batch jobs (see Demo)
- Fluent has solver "as a service" mode with remote console (not covered):
- Fluent has direct job scheduler integration with: -scheduler=pbs {pbs, lsf, sge}
 Relatively new since 2019 R2

| Reading "\" gunzip | -c \\"airfoil-4.cas.gz\\"\"" | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 9800 quadrilater | al cells, zone 16, binary. | |
| 19325 2D interior | faces, zone 15, binary. | |
| 100 2D Wall Fac | es, zone 3, binary. | |
| 100 2D Wall Fac | es, zone 14, binary. | |
| 10075 and a bina | -far-field faces, zone 11, binary. | |
| 10075 nodes, binds | biname | |
| 10075 Houe Flags, | binary. | |
| Building | | |
| mesh | | |
| materials, | | |
| interface, | | |
| domains, | | |
| mixture | | |
| zones, | | |
| interior-1 | | |
| wall-top | | |
| pressure-far-fie | ld-1 | |
| wall-bottom | | |
| fluid-16 | | |
| Done. | | |
| | | |



Restarts and Initialization

- CFD solves can be quite long, but are easy to restart.
- Don't forget to autosave incase of a crash
- Reload initial conditions from a previous solve to better initialize flow.
- Save mesh and partitioning setup for subsequent solves.

| stics t=0 Initialize | Activities Autosave + Create - Manage | ✓ Input Summa ✓ Check Case Preview Mesh Methods | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Autosave Save Data File Eve | ery 20000 | × ne Steps 💌 | | | |
| Data File Quantities | | | | | |
| Save Associate | d Case Files | | | | |
| Only if Modified | | | | | |
| O Each Time | | | | | |
| File Storage Options | | | | | |
| 🗌 🗌 Retain Only t | the Most Recent File | s | | | |
| Maximum Number of Data Files 0 | | | | | |
| Only Associated Case Files are Retained | | | | | |
| File Name | | | | | |
| cfb-fine.gz | | Browse | | | |
| Append File Name with flow-time 💌 | | | | | |
| Decimal Places in File Name 6 | | | | | |
| OK Cancel Help | | | | | |



Measuring Performance

• Fluent has performance statistics option or /par/timer/usage command:



- You only need a few iterations to get a result you can extrapolate usually:
- Focus on solver time not total time:

CFD Solver wall clock seconds: 5.1197E+01 vs. Total wall clock time: 6.299E+01 seconds

> /par/timer/usage

| erformance Timer for 25 iterations on 36 compute | nodes | |
|--|---------|-------------|
| Average wall-clock time per iteration: | 24.358 | sec |
| Global reductions per iteration: | 2074 | ops |
| Global reductions time per iteration: | 0.000 | sec (0.0%) |
| Message count per iteration: | 1050698 | messages |
| Data transfer per iteration: | 898.971 | MB |
| LE solves per iteration: | 8 | solves |
| LE wall-clock time per iteration: | 16.900 | sec (69.4%) |
| LE global solves per iteration: | 9 | solves |
| LE global wall-clock time per iteration: | 0.007 | sec (0.0%) |
| LE global matrix maximum size: | 1067 | |
| AMG cycles per iteration: | 55.440 | cycles |
| Relaxation sweeps per iteration: | 4459 | sweeps |
| Relaxation exchanges per iteration: | 0 | exchanges |
| LE early protections (stall) per iteration: | 0.0 | 00 times |
| LE early protections (divergence) per iteration: | . 0.00 | 00 times |
| | | |

Total wall-clock time:

608.950 sec



Demonstrations

- Launch programs over SSH with PBS
- Fluent hybrid mode
- Monitor job in progress





Thanks for listening!

Apickard@simutechgroup.ca

www.SimuTechGroup.com/Offices